



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,762	01/18/2002	Shashidhar Merugu	1875.0360001	7848	
26111	26111 7590 06/17/2004			EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			ORTIZ, BELIX M		
	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2175	Н	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/050,762	MERUGU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Belix M. Ortiz	2175			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day nod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	 :				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application	ion No			
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , ,	DOV POPOVICE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-3</u>. 	(08) 5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li et al. (U.S. patent 6,567,408).

As to claim 1, <u>Li et al</u>. teaches a method for creating and/or modifying a dynamically updateable, searchable packet classification databank (see column 1, lines 17-19 and column 3, lines 63-66), comprising the steps of:

receiving a collection of packet classification rules, each packet classification rule being represented as a plurality of binary locations (see column 3, lines 63-66 and column 9, lines 61-65);

selecting an index key based on a common location among the packet classification rules at a first level, such as to enable partitioning of the collection into two or more siblings at a second level, wherein the binary value of the common location represents a feature whereby the composition of each

Art Unit: 2175

Page 3

sibling contains packet classification rules possessing a common feature (see figure 7A; column 3, lines 66-67; column 4, lines 1-34; column 8, lines 65-67; and column 9, lines 1-4); and

selecting an index key based on a second common location among the packet classification rules at the second level, such as to enable partitioning of at least one of the two or more siblings at the second level into two or more siblings at a third level (see figure 7A and column 4, lines 20-34).

As to claim 2, <u>Li et al</u>. teaches the method further comprising the step of: selecting an index key based on a third common location among the packet classification rules at the third level, whereas to enable partitioning of at least one of the two or more siblings at the third level into two or more siblings at a fourth level (see column 10, lines 9-16).

As to claim 3, <u>Li et al</u>. teaches the method further comprising the step of: repetitively partitioning each sibling at a respective level into two or more siblings at a lower level until reaching a partition threshold (see column 3, lines 66-67; column 4, lines 1-3; and column 4, lines 8-19).

As to claim 4, <u>Li et al</u>. teaches wherein the partition threshold is predicated on a maximum number of rules residing in the sibling at the respective level (see column 6, lines 38-42 and column 8, lines 25-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,762 Page 4

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 5, <u>Li et al</u>. teaches wherein the partition threshold is predicated on a maximum number of levels (see column 3, lines 23-26 and column 3, lines 53-55).

As to claim 6, <u>Li et al</u>. teaches wherein each sibling at a respective level has a substantially equivalent quantity of the packet classification rules (see column 1, lines 18-23 and column 3, lines 52-59).

As to claim 10, <u>Li et al</u>. teaches the method further comprising the step of: receiving at least one packet classification rule within the collection that has one or more location coordinates denoted as both binary values (see column 9, lines 61-65).

As to claim 13, <u>Li et al</u>. teaches the method further comprising the steps of:

receiving at least one packet classification rule within the collection that has two or more location coordinates that denote a feature having a range of values (see column 8, lines 15-19; column 8, lines 36-40; and column 12, lines 25-29); and

decomposing the at least one packet classification rule into two or more packet classification divisional rules, wherein the selecting an index key steps

Art Unit: 2175

include processing the divisional rules as part of the collection (see column 11, lines 20-21; column 18, lines 31-34; and column 18, lines 41-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 14, 18-20, and 22-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Li et al</u>. (U.S. patent 6,567,408) in view of <u>Carr et al</u>. (U.S. patent 6,600,744).

As to claim 14, <u>Li et al</u>. does not teach the method further comprising the step of:

manifesting a query key based on index keys selected to partition the packet classification rules.

<u>Carr et al.</u> teaches a method and apparatus for packet classification in a data communication system (see abstract), in which he teaches the method further comprising the step of:

Art Unit: 2175

manifesting a query key based on index keys selected to partition the packet classification rules (see figure 4, character "400").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u>, to include the method further comprising the step of:

manifesting a query key based on index keys selected to partition the packet classification rules.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u> by the teaching of <u>Carr et al.</u>, because the method further comprising the step of:

manifesting a query key based on index keys selected to partition the packet classification rules, would enable the method, because the partitioning process is initiated to construct the extraction function and the extraction function is selected as the index key.

As to claim 18, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches the method further comprising the steps of:

receiving a packet (see Li et al., column 2, lines 11-14);

applying the query key to the packet to produce a packet key (see <u>Li et al.</u>, column 20, lines 13-41); and

searching the collection to detect a packet classification rule

Art Unit: 2175

matching the packet key (see <u>Li et al.</u>, column 3, lines 52-57 and column 8, lines 13-15).

As to claim 19, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches the method further comprising the steps of:

detecting multiple packet classification rules matching the packet key (see <u>Li et al.</u>, column 3, lines 52-57 and column 8, lines 13-15); and selecting a collision location key based on a common location to enable partitioning of the multiple packet classification rules (see <u>Li et al.</u>, column 3, lines 52-57 and column 8, lines 13-15).

As to claim 20, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches the method further comprising the steps of:

detecting multiple packet classification rules matching the packet key

(see <u>Li et al.</u>, column 3, lines 52-57 and column 8, lines 13-15); and

sequentially comparing each of the multiple packet classification rules with
the packet to detect a matching rule (see <u>Li et al.</u>, abstract and column 4, lines 8
16).

As to claim 22, Li et al. teaches a packet classification system, comprising:

Art Unit: 2175

a first memory for receiving a collection of packet classification rules, wherein each packet classification rule is represented as a plurality of binary locations (see column 9, lines 15-22 and column 9, lines 61-65); and

wherein each index key is based on a common location among the packet classification rules residing at a level, and enables partitioning of the packet classification rules into two or more siblings at another level (see figure 5 and figure 7).

Li et al. does not teach a mask constructor for selecting one or more index keys, and

wherein the mask constructor continues to select index keys to repetitively partition each sibling at a respective level into two or more siblings at a lower level until reaching a partition threshold.

Carr et al. teaches a method and apparatus for packet classification in a data communication system (see abstract), in which he teaches a mask constructor for selecting one or more index keys (see figure 2), and

wherein the mask constructor continues to select index keys to repetitively partition each sibling at a respective level into two or more siblings at a lower level until reaching a partition threshold (see figure 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u>, to include a mask constructor for selecting one or more index keys, and

Art Unit: 2175

wherein the mask constructor continues to select index keys to repetitively partition each sibling at a respective level into two or more siblings at a lower level until reaching a partition threshold.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u> by the teaching of <u>Carr et al.</u>, because a mask constructor for selecting one or more index keys, and

wherein the mask constructor continues to select index keys to repetitively partition each sibling at a respective level into two or more siblings at a lower level until reaching a partition threshold, would enable the packet classification system, because the mask is used to refine the rule set to a form that is easily accessible later for packet classification.

As to claim 23, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein the mask constructor assembles the one or more index keys into a query key (see Carr et al., figure 2).

As to claim 24, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches the system further comprising: a key extractor for applying the query key to produce a refined rule collection from the collection located within the first memory (see <u>Carr et al</u>., column 3, lines 65-67 and column 4, lines 1-41); and

a second memory for storing the refined rule collection (see <u>Carr et al.</u>, column 6, lines 8-10).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 25, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein the second memory is a content addressable memory (see <u>Carr et al</u>., column 3, lines 18-27).

As to claim 26, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches the system further comprising: a key extractor for applying the query key to an incoming packet to produce a packet key (see <u>Li et al.</u>, column 6, lines 38-42).

As to claim 27, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches the system of claim 26, further comprising:

a packet classifier for applying the packet key to detect a packet classification rule matching the packet key (see <u>Li et al.</u>, column 3, lines 52-57 and column 8, lines 13-15).

As to claim 28, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein the key extractor is a multiplexor, wherein the multiplexor is configured to select field descriptors from the packet based on the query key (see <u>Carr et al</u>., figure 3, character "276" and column 11, lines 48-55).

As to claim 29, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches wherein the multiplexor is a crossbar switch or a bit shifter (see <u>Carr et al</u>., column 4, lines 42-52).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 30, <u>Li et al</u>. teaches a computer program product comprising a computer useable medium having computer readable program code means embedded in the medium for causing an application program to execute on a computer used to classify packet flows, the computer readable program code (see column 16, lines 64-67 and column 17, lines 1-9).

<u>Li et al.</u> does not teach a first computer readable program code means for causing the computer to select one or more index keys,

wherein the first computer readable program code means selects each index key such that each index key is based on a common location among a set of packet classification rules residing at a level, and enables partitioning of the set into two or more siblings at another level, and

wherein the first computer readable program code means continues to select index keys to repetitively partition each sibling at a respective level into two or more siblings at a lower level until reaching a partition threshold; and

a second computer readable program code means for causing the computer to assemble the one or more index keys into a query key.

<u>Carr et al.</u> teaches a method and apparatus for packet classification in a data communication system (see abstract), in which he teaches a first computer readable program code means for causing the computer to select one or more index keys (see column 3, lines 65-67 and column 4, lines 1-9),

wherein the first computer readable program code means selects each index key such that each index key is based on a common location among a set

Art Unit: 2175

of packet classification rules residing at a level, and enables partitioning of the set into two or more siblings at another level (see column 3, lines 65-67 and column 4, lines 1-9), and

wherein the first computer readable program code means continues to select index keys to repetitively partition each sibling at a respective level into two or more siblings at a lower level until reaching a partition threshold (see column 3, lines 65-67 and column 4, lines 1-9); and

a second computer readable program code means for causing the computer to assemble the one or more index keys into a query key (see figure 1, character "20" and figure 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u>, to include a first computer readable program code means for causing the computer to select one or more index keys,

wherein the first computer readable program code means selects each index key such that each index key is based on a common location among a set of packet classification rules residing at a level, and enables partitioning of the set into two or more siblings at another level, and

wherein the first computer readable program code means continues to select index keys to repetitively partition each sibling at a respective level into two or more siblings at a lower level until reaching a partition threshold; and

Art Unit: 2175

a second computer readable program code means for causing the computer to assemble the one or more index keys into a query key.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u> by the teaching of <u>Carr et al.</u>, because a first computer readable program code means for causing the computer to select one or more index keys,

wherein the first computer readable program code means selects each index key such that each index key is based on a common location among a set of packet classification rules residing at a level, and enables partitioning of the set into two or more siblings at another level, and

wherein the first computer readable program code means continues to select index keys to repetitively partition each sibling at a respective level into two or more siblings at a lower level until reaching a partition threshold; and

a second computer readable program code means for causing the computer to assemble the one or more index keys into a query key would enable a computer program, because "This invention provides methods and apparatus for packet classification. The methods and apparatus use multi-level data structures, which have one level corresponding to each parameter value in a packet signature. Each level of the data structure contains conditions which may be matched by corresponding parameter values in the packet signature. The methods search for conditions, which match the corresponding parameter value of the packet being classified. In preferred embodiments, different search

Application/Control Number: 10/050,762 Page 14

Art Unit: 2175

engines may be used to search for matching conditions in different levels. The methods of the invention can provide fast classification", (see <u>Li et al.</u>, column 3, lines 53-62).

5. Claims 15-17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. (U.S. patent 6,567,408) in view of Carr et al. (U.S. patent 6,600,744), as applied to claims 14, 18-20, and 22-30 above, and further in view of Venkatachary et al. (U.S. publication 2002/0089937).

As to claim 15, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified still does not teach the method further comprising the steps of:

enabling addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule in the collection; and

revising the query key in response to the addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule.

<u>Venkatachary et al.</u> teaches packet matching method and system (see abstract), in which he teaches the method further comprising the steps of:

enabling addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule in the collection (see page 1, paragraph 7 and page 4, paragraph 50); and

revising the query key in response to the addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule (see page 4, paragraph 50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u>, as modified, to include the method further comprising the steps of:

enabling addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule in the collection; and

revising the query key in response to the addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u> as modified, by the teaching of <u>Venkatachary et al.</u>, because the method further comprising the steps of:

enabling addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule in the collection; and

revising the query key in response to the addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule, would enable the method to update the primary rule memory each time a packet is received or sended to the receiver.

As to claim 16, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches the method further comprising the step of:

performing the revising the query key on a periodically scheduled basis (see Carr et al., column 11, lines 7-15).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 17, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified teaches the method further comprising the step of:

performing the revising the query key on demand (see <u>Carr et al.</u>, figure 4, character "400").

As to claim 21, <u>Li et al</u>. as modified still does not teach the method further comprising the step of:

enabling addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule in the collection during the searching the collection.

Venkatachary et al. teaches packet matching method and system (see abstract), in which he teaches the method further comprising the step of:

enabling addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule in the collection during the searching the collection (see page 1, paragraph 7 and page 4, paragraph 50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u>, as modified, to the method further comprising the step of:

enabling addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule in the collection during the searching the collection.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2175

Page 17

art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Li et al.</u> as modified, by the teaching of <u>Venkatachary et al.</u>, because the method further comprising the step of:

enabling addition and/or deletion of a packet classification rule in the collection during the searching the collection, would enable the method to update the primary rule memory each time a packet is receive or send to the receiver.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 7-9 and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record, <u>Li et al.</u> (U.S. patent 6567,408), <u>Carr et al.</u> (U.S patent 6,600,744), and <u>Venkatachary et al.</u> (U.S. pub. No. 2002/0089937), do not disclose, teach, or suggest the claimed limitations of (in combination with all other features in the claim):

wherein each of the selecting an index key step comprises the steps of:

Art Unit: 2175

measuring a difference in cardinality at each location coordinate that has not been selected previously as an index key; and

computing an optimization parameter for each location coordinate, as claimed in claim 7.

Claims 8-9 are objected to as being dependent from the objected to dependent claim 7.

The prior art of record, <u>Li et al.</u> (U.S. patent 6567,408), <u>Carr et al.</u> (U.S patent 6,600,744), and <u>Venkatachary et al.</u> (U.S. pub. No. 2002/0089937), do not disclose, teach, or suggest the claimed limitations of (in combination with all other features in the claim):

wherein each of the selecting an index key step comprises the steps of:

measuring a difference in cardinality at each location coordinate that has

not been selected previously as an index key; and

computing an optimization parameter for each location coordinate, as claimed in claim 11.

The prior art of record, <u>Li et al.</u> (U.S. patent 6567,408), <u>Carr et al.</u> (U.S patent 6,600,744), and <u>Venkatachary et al.</u> (U.S. pub. No. 2002/0089937), do not disclose, teach, or suggest the claimed limitations of (in combination with all other features in the claim):

Art Unit: 2175

Page 19

wherein the computing an optimization parameter comprises:

determining an evenness of division for siblings at a respective level; and

determining an average cardinality, as claimed in claim 12.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Belix M. Ortiz whose telephone number is 703-

305-7605. The examiner can normally be reached on moday-friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703-305-3900.

bmo

May 26, 2004.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100